

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MOLECULAR PROFILE OF THE FOLLICULAR MILIEU IN POOR AND NORMO-RESPONDERS

Raquel Garrido-Casserras², Nuria Pellicer², Mercedes Monterde¹, Alicia Marzal Escrivá^{1,3}, Antonio Pellicer^{1,2,4,5}, César Díaz-García^{1,2,4}

¹Grupo Acreditado de investigación en Medicina Reproductiva, IIS La Fe, Spain

²Área de Salud de la Mujer, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Spain

³IVI-Valencia, IVI-Valencia, Spain

⁴Departamento de Pediatría Obstetricia y Ginecología., Universidad de Valencia, Spain

⁵IVI-Roma, IVI-Roma, Spain

Introduction: The molecular mechanisms underlying the poor ovarian response (POR) are poorly understood and there are still discrepancies regarding the characterization of the molecular features of POR. Aim: To compare the hormonal levels and the expression of the primary receptors and enzymes involved in the late stages of the follicular development and steroidogenesis between confirmed POR and normo-responders (NR). Study design: Sub-study of the FOLLPRIM study (NCT01310647). 99 potential PORs were included and subsequently underwent controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) in an antagonist protocol. Confirmed POR was defined as less than 5 MII oocytes retrieved during pick-up. Material and Methods: FSH-receptor (FSHR) and androgen-receptor (AR) were quantified in luteinized granulosa cells (LGC) by flow cytometry. Genetic expression of FSHR, LHR, AR, Star, CYP11A1 and CYP19A1 from Cumulus cells (CC) was quantified by RT-PCR. Hormonal determinations in follicular fluids were conducted using ELISA. Results: 81 POR patients were confirmed after COS. When compared POR and NR, the former had less MII oocytes retrieved ($1,7\pm 1,3$ vs $6,7\pm 2,0$; $p=0,001$), lower follicular levels of androstenedione ($4,37$ [$0,53-29,65$] vs $8,41$ [$3,99-12,82$]; $p=0,010$), testosterone ($11,20$ [$2,91-31,92$] vs $13,45$ [$9,47-53,88$]; $p=0,021$) and E2 ($803,14$ [$63,70-2.089,76$] vs $2.753,46$ [$772,70-7.392,75$]; $p=0,001$). These changes were accompanied by a significant overexpression of the AR gene in POR patients, but such change wasn't followed by a parallel increase in FSHR on the membranes of LGCs. Conclusions: Molecular features of POR are characterized by an overexpression of AR in CC and a deprived intra-follicular androgenic environment.