

Is NEDA a clinically relevant endpoint for therapeutic decisions? No

Friedemann Paul, Charité – Universitaetsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

With the advent of more efficacious MS therapies in recent years, a mere reduction of relapse rate is no longer considered a satisfactory therapeutic goal (1,2). Moreover, recent data on MS disease course suggest that probably only relapse rates in the first few years from onset are associated with long-term disability and prognosis, thus underscoring the necessity for additional measures of disease activity and progression that could help guide treatment decisions (3). Therefore, the concept of “no evidence of disease activity” (NEDA) which roots in the field of rheumatology were disease-free status is an accepted goal for clinical trials and patient care was transferred to MS and first applied in 2009 to the AFFIRM trial (“disease activity free status”), one of the pivotal natalizumab trials (4,5). NEDA was defined as a composite score comprising the criteria “No EDSS worsening”, “Freedom from relapses”, “No new/enlarging T2 lesions” and “No gadolinium-enhancing lesions”. 37% of study participants who received natalizumab in the AFFIRM trial were “disease activity free” over 2 years according to this definition. Subsequent analyses for dimethyl-fumarate, fingolimod, cladribine and the combination of glatiramer acetate / interferon beta-1a reported NEDA rates ranging from 28 to 44% (6). However, despite the beneficial promotional aspect of such a composite score for pharmaceutical companies, the clinical relevance for individual patient management and treatment decisions has not been proved. Moreover, NEDA has been criticized because it heavily relies on radiographic measures whose correlation with clinical measures is only moderate and whose relevance for long term prognosis is equivocal. Most NEDA data are derived from very short follow-up periods and lack comparability between studies which questions the long term importance and predictive value of this measure.

Moreover, the NEDA concept disregards relevant features of disease pathology (diffuse tissue damage, grey matter atrophy, retinal atrophy etc.) that are probably more relevant for disease prognosis and long term disability than focal T2/gadolinium-enhancing lesions (7-11). Even more serious is the fact that it is totally unclear what the clinical meaningfulness of NEDA is from the patients’ perspective as it does not entirely reflect the clinical need by not taking “intangible” but often severely debilitating symptoms like fatigue, depression, cognitive impairment, pain and sleep disorders into account (12-18). Moreover, a recent study from the US has shown that NEDA is hardly an achievable goal in clinical practice as only 7.9% of more than 200 RRMS patients retained NEDA status after a period of observation of 7 years (19). Recent attempts to overcome some of the criticism by including brain atrophy measurements into the concept (NEDA-4) fall short as standardized brain volume assessments in clinical routine are probably not feasible in the nearer future. In sum, NEDA is currently not relevant for therapeutic decisions.

References

1. Towards the implementation of 'no evidence of disease activity' in multiple sclerosis treatment: the multiple sclerosis decision model. Stangel M, Penner IK, Kallmann BA, Lukas C, Kieseier BC. Ther Adv Neurol Disord. 2015 Jan;8(1):3-13. doi: 10.1177/1756285614560733.
2. The transition from first-line to second-line therapy in multiple sclerosis. Dörr J, Paul F. Curr Treat Options Neurol. 2015 Jun;17(6):354. doi: 10.1007/s11940-015-0354-5.
3. Evidence for a two-stage disability progression in multiple sclerosis. Leray E, Yaouanq J, Le Page E, Coustans M, Laplaud D, Oger J, Edan G. Brain. 2010 Jul;133(Pt 7):1900-13. doi: 10.1093/brain/awq076. Epub 2010 Apr 27.
4. Is no evidence of disease activity a realistic goal for patients with multiple sclerosis? Imitola J, Racke MK. JAMA Neurol. 2015 Feb;72(2):145-7. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.3860. No abstract available.
5. Effect of natalizumab on clinical and radiological disease activity in multiple sclerosis: a retrospective analysis of the Natalizumab Safety and Efficacy in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis (AFFIRM) study. Havrdova E, Galetta S, Hutchinson M, Stefoski D, Bates D, Polman

- CH, O'Connor PW, Giovannoni G, Phillips JT, Lublin FD, Pace A, Kim R, Hyde R. Lancet Neurol. 2009 Mar;8(3):254-60. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70021-3. Epub 2009 Feb 7.
6. Disease activity free status: a new end point for a new era in multiple sclerosis clinical research? Bevan CJ, Cree BA. JAMA Neurol. 2014 Mar;71(3):269-70. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.5486. No abstract available. Erratum in: JAMA Neurol. 2014 Jun;71(6):803.
 7. Metabolic changes in the visual cortex are linked to retinal nerve fiber layer thinning in multiple sclerosis. Pfueller CF, Brandt AU, Schubert F, Bock M, Walaszek B, Waiczies H, Schwenteck T, Dörr J, Bellmann-Strobl J, Mohr C, Weinges-Evers N, Ittermann B, Wuerfel JT, Paul F. PLoS One. 2011 Apr 6;6(4):e18019. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018019.
 8. Primary retinal pathology in multiple sclerosis as detected by optical coherence tomography. Brandt AU, Oberwahrenbrock T, Ringelstein M, Young KL, Tiede M, Hartung HP, Martin R, Aktas O, Paul F, Schippling S. Brain. 2011 Nov;134(Pt 11):e193; author reply e194. doi: 10.1093/brain/awr095. Epub 2011 May 19. No abstract available.
 9. Retinal ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer thinning in clinically isolated syndrome. Oberwahrenbrock T, Ringelstein M, Jentschke S, Deusche K, Klumbies K, Bellmann-Strobl J, Harmel J, Ruprecht K, Schippling S, Hartung HP, Aktas O, Brandt AU, Paul F. Mult Scler. 2013 Dec;19(14):1887-95. doi: 10.1177/1352458513489757. Epub 2013 May 23.
 10. Retinal nerve fibre layer thickness correlates with brain white matter damage in multiple sclerosis: a combined optical coherence tomography and diffusion tensor imaging study. Scheel M, Finke C, Oberwahrenbrock T, Freing A, Pech LM, Schlichting J, Sömmer C, Wuerfel J, Paul F, Brandt AU. Mult Scler. 2014 Dec;20(14):1904-7. doi: 10.1177/1352458514535128. Epub 2014 May 19.
 11. Exploring the origins of grey matter damage in multiple sclerosis. Calabrese M, Maglizzi R, Ciccarelli O, Geurts JJ, Reynolds R, Martin R. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2015 Mar;16(3):147-58. doi: 10.1038/nrn3900. Review.
 12. Characterizing the phenotype of multiple sclerosis-associated depression in comparison with idiopathic major depression. Hasselmann H, Bellmann-Strobl J, Ricken R, Oberwahrenbrock T, Rose M, Otte C, Adli M, Paul F, Brandt AU, Finke C, Gold SM. Mult Scler. 2016 Jan 8. pii: 1352458515622826. [Epub ahead of print]
 13. Attention Network Test reveals alerting network dysfunction in multiple sclerosis. Urbanek C, Weinges-Evers N, Bellmann-Strobl J, Bock M, Dörr J, Hahn E, Neuhaus AH, Opgen-Rhein C, Ta TM, Herges K, Pfueller CF, Radbruch H, Wernecke KD, Ohlraun S, Zipp F, Dettling M, Paul F. Mult Scler. 2010 Jan;16(1):93-9. doi: 10.1177/1352458509350308. Epub 2009 Dec 7.
 14. Correlation of self-assessed fatigue and alertness in multiple sclerosis. Weinges-Evers N, Brandt AU, Bock M, Pfueller CF, Dörr J, Bellmann-Strobl J, Scherer P, Urbanek C, Boers C, Ohlraun S, Zipp F, Paul F. Mult Scler. 2010 Sep;16(9):1134-40. doi: 10.1177/1352458510374202. Epub 2010 Jul 7.
 15. Sleep disorders in multiple sclerosis and their relationship to fatigue. Veauthier C, Paul F. Sleep Med. 2014 Jan;15(1):5-14. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2013.08.791. Epub 2013 Nov 15. Review.
 16. Fatigue in multiple sclerosis is closely related to sleep disorders: a polysomnographic cross-sectional study. Veauthier C, Radbruch H, Gaede G, Pfueller CF, Dörr J, Bellmann-Strobl J, Wernecke KD, Zipp F, Paul F, Sieb JP. Mult Scler. 2011 May;17(5):613-22. doi: 10.1177/1352458510393772. Epub 2011 Jan 28.
 17. Poor PASAT performance correlates with MRI contrast enhancement in multiple sclerosis. Bellmann-Strobl J, Wuerfel J, Aktas O, Dörr J, Wernecke KD, Zipp F, Paul F. Neurology. 2009 Nov 17;73(20):1624-7. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c1de4f.
 18. Altered basal ganglia functional connectivity in multiple sclerosis patients with fatigue. Finke C, Schlichting J, Papazoglou S, Scheel M, Freing A, Soemmer C, Pech LM, Pajkert A, Pfüller C, Wuerfel JT, Ploner CJ, Paul F, Brandt AU. Mult Scler. 2015 Jun;21(7):925-34. doi: 10.1177/1352458514555784. Epub 2014 Nov 12.
 19. Evaluation of no evidence of disease activity in a 7-year longitudinal multiple sclerosis cohort. Rotstein DL, Healy BC, Malik MT, Chitnis T, Weiner HL. JAMA Neurol. 2015 Feb;72(2):152-8. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.3537.